

Pillar 3 Disclosures

31 December 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction.....	3
2.	Risk Management Framework	4
3.	Risk Governance	5
4.	Key Risks.....	8
4.1.	Key Risk Indicators.....	9
5.	Scope of Application of the Regulatory Framework.....	11
6.	Capital Management.....	14
6.1.	Capital Instruments.....	14
6.2.	Capital Requirements.....	14
6.3.	Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process	18
6.4.	Leverage Ratio	19
7.	Credit Risk.....	21
7.1.	Credit Approval.....	21
7.2.	Credit Analysis.....	21
7.3.	Credit and Concentration Risk Limits	22
7.4.	Quantitative Disclosures on Credit Risk.....	23
7.4.1.	Credit Quality.....	24
7.5.	Credit Risk Mitigation.....	33
8.	Market Risk	37
8.1.	Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book	37
8.1.1.	The Measurement of Interest Rate Risk.....	37
8.2.	Foreign Exchange Risk.....	39
9.	Liquidity and Funding Risk.....	40
9.1.	Liquidity Coverage Ratio	41
9.2.	Net Stable Funding Ratio	43
9.3.	Asset Encumbrance.....	45
10.	Operational Risk and Resilience	46
11.	Environmental, Social and Governance Risk.....	49
12.	Reputational Risk	49
13.	CRR II References	49

1. Introduction

This report provides an overview of the regulatory capital and risk management practices and exposures of APS Bank and its subsidiaries (hereafter 'the Group') in line with Pillar 3 of the Basel framework. Pillar 3 of the Basel framework aims to promote financial market discipline through regulatory disclosure requirements. These requirements enable market participants to access key information relating to a bank's regulatory capital and risk exposures, in order to increase transparency and confidence about a bank's exposure to risk and the overall adequacy of its regulatory capital.

The Pillar 3 disclosures within this report are prepared in line with Banking Rule BR/07/2024 - *Publication of Annual Report and Audited Financial Statements of Credit Institutions Authorised Under the Banking Act 1994*, and governed by Part Eight of the Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) II No. 2019/876. Pillar 3 disclosures on Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors are published in a separate report which is available on the Bank's website in conjunction with this report. Disclosures on the Remuneration Policy are published in the 'Remuneration Report' included in the Group's Annual Report. To enhance the comparability and consistency of banks' Pillar 3 disclosures, the EBA published Implementing Technical Standards (ITS) on institutions' public disclosures of the information referred to in Titles II and III of Part Eight of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

The Group has in place a Pillar 3 Disclosures Policy which sets out the approach to be adopted to ensure that the Group complies with the disclosure requirements set out in the CRR and respective EBA ITS. The Policy outlines the roles and responsibilities, the basis of preparation of the report and the verification and approval process.

This report is not subject to external audit, with the exception of any disclosures that are equivalent to those made in the 2024 Financial Statements, which adhere to International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union (EU IFRSs). However, this report has undergone comprehensive internal review as outlined in the Pillar 3 Disclosures Policy. This report has been reviewed by the Risk Committee and Audit Committee and has been approved by the Group's Board of Directors (hereafter referred to as 'the Board'). This report has been subject to an independent review to ensure that the disclosures are complete, transparent and in line with the CRR articles. A reference has been added in cases where additional information addressing Pillar 3 requirements is included in the Financial Statements. The Board is satisfied that the internal controls implemented around the preparation of these Additional Regulatory Disclosures are adequate and such disclosures present a fair and accurate picture of the Group's risk profile and capital position.

2. Risk Management Framework

The Group's Risk Appetite Statement is reviewed and approved by the Board and captures and describes the most significant risks to which the Bank is exposed and sets guidance on the types and maximum amount of risk that the Board considers acceptable (the risk capacity). It forms an integral part of the Bank's overall Risk Management Framework and contributes to aligning strategy and business objectives with the mission, vision and core values of the Bank.

The Risk Appetite Statement sets the "tone at the top" and is cascaded down to the tactical and operational levels through risk policies, key risk indicators, limits and established processes and controls. This Statement is supported by a Risk Appetite Dashboard, which sets out risk limits and triggers to benchmark the Bank's risk profile with its risk appetite (through a 'traffic lights approach').

Risk culture influences the decisions of management and employees during the day-to-day activities of the Group and has an impact on the risks the Group assumes. The Group's management, including key function holders, contribute to the internal communication of core values and expectations to staff. Staff are expected to be risk-aware and act in accordance with the Group's Code of Conduct, all applicable laws and regulations and the Group's policies, and promptly escalate observed non-compliance within or outside the institution. To create an environment of trust and maximum protection that makes it easier for all employees to report any improper practice, the Group has a Whistleblowing Policy approved by the Board of Directors.

The management body on an ongoing basis promote, monitor and assess the risk culture of the Group; they consider the impact of the risk culture on the financial stability, risk profile and robust governance of the Group; and make changes where necessary and align risk-taking behaviour with the Group's risk profile and long-term objectives.

The Group's risk profile is managed within the risk appetite set by the Board, and this is supported by a strong capital base and an adequate portfolio of highly liquid assets to meet its financial obligations as they fall due.

As at end of December 2024, the Board declares that the Group's risk profile remained well within its risk appetite and tolerance limits as set by the Board. This is supported by adequate risk management arrangements in relation to the overall risk profile and business model.

3. Risk Governance

Responsibility for risk management lies at all levels within the Group through the adoption of the IIA's Three Lines Model, which promotes strong governance and risk management. Business units, as the first line, align operations with the risk management framework. The second line, represented by the Risk Management Department, develops risk policies, conducts risk assessments and monitors risk exposures. This includes carrying out forward-looking assessments to capture emerging risks through key risk indicators and through the monitoring of risks recorded in the Risk Register. This involves working closely with management (both first and second line) to ensure controls are in place. The Internal Audit Department, as the third line, provides independent assurance to the Board on the adequacy and effectiveness of the risk strategy and compliance with policies.

The Risk, Compliance and Legal functions are led by the Chief Risk Officer who reports directly to the Risk Committee. The Risk Committee establishes and ensures the implementation of the Group's risk management and compliance strategy, systems and policies. The Risk Committee meets regularly to monitor the assessment and management of the risk profile of the Group and ensures that policies are in place in line with the Group's risk appetite, as set by the Board. During 2024, the Risk Committee met eight (8) times¹.

Risk Management Function

The Risk Management function maintains a comprehensive enterprise-wide view of the risks facing the Group and ensures that these are within the Risk Appetite set by the Board. The Group's Risk Appetite is reviewed and approved by the Board through the annual review of its Risk Appetite Statement. The Risk function is responsible for bringing to the attention of the Risk Committee emerging risks and material changes to existing risks within the Group's risk profile, including as part of the business planning process, to ensure that Management operate within the risk appetite approved by the Board. Subsequently, it is the role of the Chair of the Risk Committee to report to the Board on such developments. As the second line, the Risk function operates independently of the Bank's business activities, which are vested in the first line. The Risk function is made up of three Units:

- **Credit Risk Management (CRM):** The CRM Unit is responsible for the oversight of the Bank's credit risk exposure and management thereof. The Unit is responsible for reviewing the Credit Risk Policy, duly supported by Credit Key Risk Indicators and the underlying procedures, in line with the Bank's Risk Appetite. The CRM provides independent evaluation and recommendations (from a second line perspective) on credit proposals presented by the first line which either exceed a certain monetary threshold or are not in line with the Bank's Policy, Lending Parameters or Procedures. The Unit ensures that lending practices and new or revamped lending products are both consistent with the applicable regulations, as well as the Bank's credit risk appetite. Ensuring compliance with the credit regulatory reporting framework is the responsibility of the CRM. The oversight on the identification and monitoring of Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) and relative provisioning falls under the remit of this function. The ongoing monitoring of NPLs, through regular reporting from the first line, also falls under the responsibility of the CRM. The Recoveries and the Credit Administration functions of the Bank, both of which deal with unimpaired and impaired NPLs, are both being overseen by the CRM.
- **Enterprise Risk Management (ERM):** The ERM's objective is to articulate and champion the Risk Appetite set by the Board and ensure that business functions operate within this framework. The Unit continuously seeks to enhance the Bank's risk culture through risk-awareness initiatives (like training sessions and workshops) which are considered a key element of an effective risk management function. The ongoing update of the Risk Register resides with the ERM through the collaboration with other Departments within the Bank. The compilation and submission of the regulatory Internal Capital and Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process Report and Recovery and Resolution Planning fall under the remit of this function. The ERM performs regular sensitivity analyses and stress testing encompassing all material risks facing the Bank and periodical risk assessments reporting to the Board of Directors, Risk Committee, Executive Committee and Assets-Liabilities Committee. The management of credit risk within the investment's portfolio, liquidity and funding risk and market risk are also the responsibility of the ERM.
- **Operational Resilience Department (ORD):** The ORD is responsible for independently monitoring, measuring and reporting on the Group's exposure to IT and Cyber risks in coordination with the first line of defence. ORD carries out Operational Risk Assessments, monitors and reports on the Group's operational risk profile and ensures its management within the established risk appetite threshold. ORD also oversees the real-time reporting framework for operational loss and near miss incidents and ensures an immediate response as required. It maintains a database of operational loss and near miss incidents and presents a monthly Operational Risk Dashboard to the Executive Committee and the Risk Committee. ORD is responsible for maintaining, testing and regularly updating

¹ Further information on the Group's Committees is included in the Corporate Governance Statement published within the Annual Report

the Group's Business Continuity Plan (BCP), whilst also promoting Group-wide business continuity awareness. Second line monitoring of reputational risk is carried out in line with the Reputational Risk Policy. Furthermore, ORD maintains a comprehensive and effective insurance cover for insurable risks (excluding product-related insurance, which forms part of the Bank's product offering and is not part of the risk transfer mechanism). ORD is also responsible for overseeing the risks emanating from contractual arrangements with third party providers. Second line oversight for areas which address digital operational resilience (as defined under the DORA) fall under this Unit's remit.

Compliance Function

The Compliance function is overseen by the Compliance Committee, a management committee, which meets on a regular basis and is chaired by the Chief Risk Officer. The purpose of this Committee is to ensure that prescribed regulations, rules, policies, guidelines and procedures are being followed and anticipated. The Compliance Committee acts as a decision point for business acceptance, on-boarding and exit of customer relationships, in line with the Bank's on-boarding and exit policies.

The Compliance function is constituted by two Units, namely Regulatory Compliance and Financial Crime Compliance.

Regulatory Compliance (RC): The Bank has established an independent, permanent, and effective RC Unit to manage regulatory compliance risks. Regulatory Compliance is an integral part of good governance. The Bank seeks to ensure that through the RC Unit, the credit institution activity, investment services activity and insurance intermediation activity are carried out in line with the applicable Rules/Regulations/Supervisory Guidance (and others as applicable).

The key areas within scope of RC Unit's remit as part of the second line are the following:

- Conduct of business and treating customers fairly (TCF)
- Conflicts of interest
- Market Abuse
- Marketing and promotions of products and services
- Product Oversight Governance (POG)
- GDPR

Clear demarcations exist between those persons within the Bank who are responsible for monitoring regulatory compliance risk and establishing its appropriate limits and thresholds, to those persons who operationally own the risk. RC within the Bank is led by a Head of Regulatory Compliance who is also the Compliance Officer of the Bank as approved by the MFSA. The Unit is divided into Regulatory Development and Regulatory Oversight.

The Regulatory Development function is in charge of analysing and tracking in terms of compliance risk those laws, regulations and standards which are material and relevant to the Bank and fall within the overall scope of regulatory compliance. In addition, the function constantly interacts with relevant Units for the implementation of new/amended laws, regulations, and standards.

The Regulatory Oversight team is responsible for conducting oversight monitoring on the various functions within the Bank to ensure that the operational procedures are in line with the regulatory requirements through a set Compliance Monitoring Programme and ad hoc reviews. This function provides assistance and advice on new Projects, Product Launches and Complaints Management and is responsible for Regulatory Reporting and Tax Compliance.

Both functions are interdependent and provide support to each other on an ongoing basis. In addition to the above, the GDPR function currently falls within the remit of RC, and this is represented by the Data Protection Officer.

Financial Crime Compliance (FCC): This Unit is led by a Head of Financial Crime Compliance and is responsible for effective risk management in the context of the prevention and detection of financial crimes through the implementation of a robust Financial Crime framework. The Unit covers Anti-Money Laundering (AML), Countering Funding of Terrorism, Sanctions, Bribery & Corruption and Anti-Fraud. It acts as the Subject Matter Expert for the branch network, back-office functions and Senior Management on AML risks. The Head of the Financial Crime Compliance Unit is also the Money Laundering Reporting Officer (MLRO) of the Bank, reporting to the Chief Risk Officer from an operational standpoint, but also enjoying direct and unhindered access to the Board for any reporting or consultation required in respect of AML risks.

Legal Function

The Legal function is responsible for the identification, assessment and management of Legal Risks that arise out of the business activities and operations of the Bank, and those which arise due to external factors. The Legal Department's primary

role is to act as the legal guardian of these risks by identifying, analysing, evaluating, monitoring, mitigating, and controlling such risks, and by communicating the legal strategy to the management body (in its supervisory and executive function) as well as to members of staff. The function is led by the Head of Legal and is comprised of three separate Units:

- The Legal Services Unit is responsible for the drafting of legal policy and procedures, provision of general legal advice and guidance, reviewing and drafting contracts and other legal documents, and maintaining a register of signed contracts entered by the Bank.
- The Advances Legal Unit is responsible for the provision of legal advice and review of all legal documentation in connection to property that is hypothecated in favour of the Bank during the entire contract cycle, the administrative work connected to the signing of deeds of loans and overdraft, and the representation on deeds of waiver/postponement or cancellation of hypothecary rights and deed of constitution of debt and the provision of advice in relation to these deeds.
- The Court Management Services Unit is responsible for the receipt, implementation and documentation of all Court orders, the provision of evidence during Court proceedings, the provision of legal advice with regards to closure of bank accounts of deceased customers, interdicted customers under curatorship/guardianship, and liaising with Recoveries Unit/ Legal Units with regards to customers in litigation.

All three Units are responsible to provide legal advice and guidance to Committees, Project teams and Working Groups in all matters as required.

The Group has a **Remuneration Policy** which is in line with its business strategy and risk tolerance, objectives, values and long-term interests of its stakeholders, including its shareholders. The Policy is also in line with other values such as compliance, culture, ethics, conduct towards customers, sustainability and measures to mitigate conflicts of interest as well as consistent with environmental, social and governance risk-related objectives.

The Policy aims to enable the Group to exercise a competent and independent judgement on its remuneration practices and its incentives created for managing risk, capital and liquidity and ensure that they are in line with applicable legislation, directives, regulations and guidelines. The Group discloses information regarding the remuneration policy and practices for those categories of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on its risk profile. These disclosures are included in the Remuneration Report included within the Bank's Annual Report.

4. Key Risks

Below is a list of the material risks to which the Group is exposed. The subsequent sections (as indicated below) provide an overview of each material risk, including the management of each risk and capital allocation techniques adopted. The Bank considers the risk of its subsidiary, ReAPS Asset Management Limited, to the extent that this constitutes a material impact on the Group's risk profile. The subsidiary has its own Risk Management and Compliance policies and documented procedures that delineate the risk management and compliance processes, which facilitate reporting to the Risk Committee on the assessment of the subsidiary's risk profile, carried out by the Risk and Compliance personnel engaged with the entity which carry a dual reporting line to both the Chief Risk Officer and to the Managing Director of ReAPS Asset Management Limited.

Capital Adequacy	The risk that the own funds held by the Bank are not sufficient and/or adequate to provide sound coverage of the risks to which it is, or might be, exposed. <i>Pillar 3 Disclosures Report Section 6; and Note 47.5 to the Financial Statements.</i>
Leverage	The risk resulting from an institution's vulnerability due to leverage or contingent leverage that may require unintended corrective measures of its business plan, including distressed selling of assets which might result in losses or in valuation adjustments to its remaining assets. <i>Pillar 3 Disclosures Report Section 6.4</i>
Credit Risk	The risk that a borrower or counterparty fails to meet the respective obligations in accordance with, or performing according to, agreed terms. The Bank does not engage in derivative exposures as per prudential requirements and, therefore, is not subject to counterparty credit risk. <i>Pillar 3 Disclosures Report Section 7; and Note 47.2 to the Financial Statements.</i>
Market Risk	The risk that the fair value or future cash flows of financial instruments will fluctuate due to changes in market variables. The Group's exposure through its trading portfolio is minimal, as well as its exposure to equities. Thus, the Group's exposure to market risk is limited to interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk and other price risk. <i>Pillar 3 Disclosures Report Section 8; and Note 47.4 to the Financial Statements.</i>
Operational Risk	The risk of loss arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, or from external events. <i>Pillar 3 Disclosures Report Section 10.</i>
IT and Cyber Risk	The impact (financial, reputational, technical and regulatory) to the confidentiality and/or integrity and/or availability of Bank information system (including data managed by these systems) stemming from attempt, successful or otherwise, unauthorized individual/stakeholder/systems/entities. <i>Pillar 3 Disclosures Report Section 10</i>
Liquidity and Funding Risk	Liquidity risk is the risk that the Group cannot meet its financial obligations as they fall due in the short term and medium term, either at all or without incurring unacceptable losses. Funding risk is the risk that the Group cannot meet its financial obligations as they fall due in the medium to long term, either at all or without increasing funding costs unacceptably. <i>Pillar 3 Disclosures Report Section 9; and Note 47.3 to the Financial Statements.</i>
Environmental, Social and Governance Risk	Environmental, Social and Governance risks are the risks of any negative financial impact on the institution stemming from the current or prospective impacts of ESG factors on the Group, its counterparties or its invested assets. <i>Pillar 3 Disclosures Report Section 11; and ESG Disclosures Report²</i>
Reputation Risk	The risk arising from negative perception on the part of customers, counterparties, shareholders, investors, debt-holders, market analysts, other relevant parties or regulators that can adversely affect a bank's ability to maintain existing, or establish new, business relationships and continued access to sources of funding. <i>Pillar 3 Disclosures Report Section 12.</i>

² The ESG Disclosures Report for year ended 31 December 2024 will be available on the Bank's website by June 2024. Further information is provided in Section 11 of this Report.

4.1. Key Risk Indicators

The Risk Department performs regular risk assessments and stress testing exercises, the results of which are presented to the Board of Directors, Risk Committee and other committees as may be required, including the Assets-Liabilities Committee and the Executive Committee. The Risk Appetite Dashboard, which complements the Risk Appetite Statement, is presented to the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis. These reports provide insight on the Group's risk profile and benchmarks this with the Group's risk appetite and internal limits. Risk reports are presented using a RAG (also known as "traffic lights") approach. Early warning signals and excesses are escalated to the Chief Risk Officer, followed by the Risk Committee and the Board of Directors, in line with the Bank's internal escalation process, to ensure that the necessary corrective actions are implemented.

The following table summarises the Bank's key regulatory ratios, and their underlying components, for the reporting quarter and the preceding four quarters. These ratios form an integral part of the Bank's strategic planning and risk management framework.

EU KM1 - Key metrics

	Dec-24	Sep-24	Jun-24	Mar-24	Dec-23	
Available own funds (€000)						
1	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	277,407	266,381	255,959	252,515	254,504
2	Tier 1 capital	277,407	266,381	255,959	252,515	254,504
3	Total capital	381,617	370,565	360,116	356,946	358,676
Risk-weighted exposure amounts						
4	Total risk exposure amount	1,900,999	1,855,625	1,815,708	1,774,780	1,740,983
Capital ratios (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)						
5	Common Equity Tier 1 ratio (%)	14.59%	14.36%	14.10%	14.23%	14.62%
6	Tier 1 ratio (%)	14.59%	14.36%	14.10%	14.23%	14.62%
7	Total capital ratio (%)	20.07%	19.97%	19.83%	20.11%	20.60%
Additional own funds requirements to address risks other than the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)						
EU	Additional own funds requirements to address risks other than the risk of excessive leverage (%)	3.15%	3.15%	3.15%	4.00%	4.00%
7a	of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)	1.77%	1.77%	1.77%	2.25%	2.25%
7b	of which: to be made up of Tier 1 capital (percentage points)	2.36%	2.36%	2.36%	3.00%	3.00%
7c	Total SREP own funds requirements (%)	11.15%	11.15%	11.15%	12.00%	12.00%
7d						
Combined buffer and overall capital requirement (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)						
8	Capital conservation buffer (%)	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
EU	Conservation buffer due to macro-prudential or systemic risk identified at the level of a Member State (%)	-	-	-	-	-
8a						
9	Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer (%)	0.08%	0.10%	0.11%	0.09%	0.07%
EU	Systemic risk buffer (%)	0.52%	0.52%	0.51%	0.50%	0.33%
9a						
10	Global Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)	-	-	-	-	-
EU	Other Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)	0.375%	0.375%	0.375%	0.375%	0.250%
10a						
11	Combined buffer requirement (%)	3.48%	3.49%	3.50%	3.47%	3.14%

EU 11a	Overall capital requirements (%)	14.63%	14.64%	14.65%	15.47%	15.14%
12	CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)	6.23%	5.99%	5.73%	5.23%	5.62%
Leverage ratio						
13	Total exposure measure	4,283,466	4,045,486	3,891,007	3,843,430	3,780,199
14	Leverage ratio (%)	6.48%	6.58%	6.58%	6.57%	6.73%
Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (as a percentage of total exposure measure)						
EU 14a	Additional own funds requirements to address the risk of excessive leverage (%)	-	-	-	-	-
EU 14b	of which: to be made up of CET1 capital (percentage points)	-	-	-	-	-
EU 14c	Regulatory minimum leverage ratio requirement (%)	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
EU 14d	Leverage ratio buffer requirement (%)	-	-	-	-	-
EU 14e	Overall leverage ratio requirement (%)	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
Liquidity Coverage Ratio						
15	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) (Weighted value -average)	579,719	525,047	448,994	497,744	485,699
EU 16a	Cash outflows - Total weighted value	434,628	375,825	373,042	429,423	418,833
EU 16b	Cash inflows - Total weighted value	140,926	68,588	67,669	76,095	72,354
16	Total net cash outflows (adjusted value)	293,702	307,236	305,373	353,328	346,478
17	Liquidity coverage ratio (%)	197.38%	170.89%	147.03%	140.87%	140.18%
Net Stable Funding Ratio						
18	Total available stable funding	3,563,901	3,379,737	3,257,273	3,139,617	3,069,798
19	Total required stable funding	2,537,937	2,462,752	2,410,271	2,370,440	2,337,458
20	NSFR ratio (%)	140.43%	137.23%	135.14%	132.45%	131.33%

5. Scope of Application of the Regulatory Framework

The accounting framework used in preparing the consolidation of the Bank's financial statements is IFRS as adopted by the EU, whereas the prudential consolidation in the statement of capital is based on CRR 2019/876. Thus, consolidation under prudential requirements may differ from consolidation under the accounting standards depending on the purpose for which they are being calculated.

The following two tables highlight the main differences between the figures reported in the financial statements in accordance with IFRS and the figures reported for the risk categories in line with the CRR. The differences between the carrying values reported in the financial statements and those reported under the regulatory risk categories pertain mainly to the differences in the accounting and regulatory consolidation methods.

EU LII - Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory risk categories

	Carrying values as reported in published financial statements	Carrying values under scope of prudential consolidation	Carrying value of items:				Not subject to capital requirements or subject to deduction from capital	
			Subject to credit risk framework	Subject to counterparty credit risk framework	Subject to the securitisation framework	Subject to the market risk framework		
	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	
Assets								
1	Cash and balances with Central Bank of Malta	379,653	379,653	379,653	-	-	98,837	-
2	Loans and advances to banks	22,027	22,027	22,027	-	-	8,913	-
3	Loans and advances to customers	3,013,014	3,013,014	3,013,014	-	-	197	-
4	Syndicated loans	180,097	180,097	180,097	-	-	39,218	-
5	Derivative financial instruments	2,422	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Other debt and fixed income instruments	386,589	386,589	386,589	-	-	30,810	-
7	Equity and other non-fixed income instruments	6,190	6,190	6,190	-	-	68	-
8	Investment in subsidiaries	40,251	40,251	40,251	-	-	-	-
9	Investment in associates	15,749	15,749	15,749	-	-	-	-
10	Investment properties	13,227	13,227	13,227	-	-	-	-
12	Property and equipment	49,730	49,730	49,730	-	-	144	-
13	Intangible assets	20,742	20,742	5,582	-	-	7	11,501
14	Right-of-use assets	4,185	4,185	4,185	-	-	-	-
15	Deferred tax assets	457	457	457	-	-	3	-
16	Other receivables	12,532	12,532	12,532	-	-	1,095	-
17	Income Tax	5,526	5,526	5,526	-	-	-	-
18	Cheques in course of collection	-	-	-	-	-	3	-

19	Total assets	4,152,391	4,149,969	4,134,809	-	-	179,295	11,501
Liabilities								
1	Derivative financial instruments	2,422		-	-	-	-	
2	Amounts owed to banks	28,609	28,609	-	-	-	25,017	28,609
3	Amounts owed to customers	3,671,739	3,674,161	-	-	-	153,918	3,674,161
4	Debt securities in issue	104,210	104,210	-	-	-	-	104,210
5	Current tax	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Lease liabilities	4,366	4,366	-	-	-	-	4,366
7	Other liabilities	18,047	18,047	-	-	-	55	18,047
8	Accruals	22,811	22,811	-	-	-	532	22,811
9	Total liabilities	3,852,204	3,852,204	-	-	-	179,522	3,852,204
Equity								
1	Share capital	94,902	94,902	-	-	-	-	94,902
2	Share premium	52,467	52,467	-	-	-	-	52,467
3	Revaluation reserve	19,315	19,315	-	-	-	(346)	19,315
4	Retained earnings	133,137	133,137	-	-	-	-	133,137
5	Other reserves	366	366	-	-	-	-	366
6	Total equity	300,187	300,187	-	-	-	(346)	300,187

EU LI2 - Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements.

	Total	Items subject to:				
		Credit risk framework	Securitisation framework	Counterparty credit risk framework	Market risk framework	
		€000	€000	€000	€000	
1	Asset carrying value amount under scope of regulatory consolidation (as per Template LI1)	4,314,104	4,134,809	-	-	179,295
2	Liabilities carrying value amount under regulatory scope of consolidation (as per Template LI1)	(179,521)	-	-	-	(179,521)
3	Total net amount under regulatory scope of consolidation	4,134,582	4,134,809	-	-	(226)
4	Off-balance sheet amounts	1,216,685	1,216,685	-	-	
5	Differences in valuations	-	-	-	-	
6	Differences due to different netting rules, other than those already included in row 2	-	-	-	-	
7	Differences due to consideration of provisions	-	-	-	-	
8	Differences due to the use of credit risk mitigation techniques (CRMs)	-	-	-	-	
9	Differences due to credit conversion factors	1,067,960	1,067,960	-	-	
10	Differences due to Securitisation with risk transfer	-	-	-	-	
11	Other Differences	-	-	-	-	
12	Exposure amounts considered for regulatory purposes	5,351,267	5,351,493	-	-	(226)

The following table provides an overview of the accounting and regulatory consolidation methods for each entity within the Group. The Group's investment in its associates is accounted for using the equity method. Further information on the Group's subsidiaries and associates is included in Notes 23 and 24 to the Financial Statements, respectively.

EU LI3 Outline of the differences in the scopes of consolidation (entity by entity)

Name of the entity	Method of accounting consolidation	Method of regulatory consolidation	Description of the entity
APS Bank plc	Full Consolidation	Full Consolidation	Credit institution
ReAPS Asset Management Limited	Full Consolidation	Neither consolidated nor deducted	UCITS Management Company
APS Diversified Bond Fund	Full consolidation	Neither consolidated nor deducted	UCITS Collective Investment Scheme
IVALIFE Limited	Equity method of accounting	Neither consolidated nor deducted	Insurance
APS Income Fund	Equity method of accounting	Neither consolidated nor deducted	UCITS Collective Investment Scheme
APS Ethical Cautious Fund	Equity method of accounting	Neither consolidated nor deducted	UCITS Collective Investment Scheme
APS Ethical Adventurous Fund	Equity method of accounting	Neither consolidated nor deducted	UCITS Collective Investment Scheme
APS Ethical Balanced Fund	Equity method of accounting	Neither consolidated nor deducted	UCITS Collective Investment Scheme

6. Capital Management

The Group continuously aims at building and sustaining a strong capital base and at applying it efficiently throughout its activities to reach its strategic objectives, optimise shareholder value whilst ensuring the sustainability of the Bank's business model and risk profile. A strong and prudent capital base is one of the pillars of the Group's business model and maintaining adequate capital ratios will continue being key to enable the Group to steer through currently challenging economic conditions.

Capital planning is a crucial pillar of the Group's business planning process. The Group examines both the current and future capital requirements in line with its Risk Appetite and strategic business objectives, in order to establish its near and long-term capital needs, capital expenditures required for the foreseeable future, target capital levels and potential sources of capital. Capital planning is the responsibility of the Assets-Liabilities Committee.

As an integral part of the Group's business planning process, the ERM Unit performs a detailed analysis of the capital requirements over the medium- and long-term, which are part of the Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP). This involves compiling scenario analysis and stress testing exercises to evaluate the impact of the Bank's strategic growth on its risk profile, whilst also taking into consideration regulatory requirements. The impact of business objectives on the Group's risk profile is also evaluated as part of the annual budgeting process.

6.1. Capital Instruments

The Bank's capital is composed of Common Equity Tier 1 (CET 1) and Tier 2 capital instruments, as defined by the CRR. CET 1 capital is the highest quality capital and, therefore, the most effective in absorbing losses. The Bank's capital is mainly composed of CET 1 capital, which primarily consists of ordinary share capital and retained earnings. In June 2022 the Bank issued its first equity public offering worth €65,800,000, hence strengthening further its capital position. Further information on the share capital composition is provided in Note 47 to the Financial Statements.

In November 2020, the Bank joined the list of issuers on the Malta Stock Exchange for the first time with the listing of the €55,000,000 3.25% APS Bank plc Unsecured Subordinated Bonds 2025-2030. In November 2023, the Bank announced the issuance of €50 million 5.80% Unsecured Subordinated Bonds 2028-2033. The subordinated bonds qualify as Tier 2 Capital, which ranks after the claims of all depositors (including financial institutions) and all other creditors.

Further information on the main features and terms and conditions of the Bank's capital instruments is provided in Note 47.5 appended to the 2024 Financial Statements which are available on the Bank's website.

6.2. Capital Requirements

In accordance with the CRR, the Bank calculates its capital requirements for Pillar 1 risks using the following approaches:

- The Standardised Approach for the purposes of calculating its risk-weighted exposure to credit risk. The minimum regulatory capital allocation to credit risk is calculated at 8% of the credit risk-weighted exposures.
- The Standardised Approach for the purposes of calculating its risk-weighted exposure to foreign exchange risk. The capital allocation for foreign exchange risk is therefore equal to 4% of the matched position for closely correlated currencies, while a capital allocation of 8% of the position is applied for non-closely correlated currencies.
- The Basic Indicator Approach for the purposes of calculating its risk-weighted exposure to operational risk. Under this approach, a 15% capital charge is applied on the average operating income for the previous three financial years.

The table below shows the Bank's risk weighted exposure for each exposure class in line with the CRR, and the respective Pillar 1 capital requirement based on the methods outlined above.

EU OV1 – Overview of total risk exposure amounts³

	RWA		Minimum Capital requirements
	Dec-24 €000	Sep-24 €000	Dec-24 €000
1 Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk)	1,754,699	1,720,630	140,376
2 Of which: standardised approach	1,754,699	1,720,630	140,376
Central government or central banks	6,810	9,479	545
Regional government or local authorities	-	-	-
Public sector entities	-	-	-
Multilateral development banks	2,089	2,078	167
International organisations	-	-	-
Institutions	28,025	34,253	2,242
Corporates	503,692	504,492	40,295
Retail	50,832	46,894	4,067
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	863,168	839,393	69,053
Exposures in default	34,273	41,722	2,742
Items associated with particular high risk	92,374	92,157	7,390
Covered bonds	1,597	1,595	128
Claims in the form of CIU	32,702	31,804	2,616
Equity exposures	318	318	25
Other Assets	138,819	116,445	11,106
20 Market risk	73	77	6
21 Of which: standardised approach	73	77	6
23 Operational risk	146,227	134,918	11,698
23a Of which: basic indicator approach	146,227	134,918	11,698
24 Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight)	-	-	-
29 Total	1,900,999	1,855,625	152,080

The Bank is required to meet a total SREP capital requirement (TSCR) of 11.15%, consisting of 8.0% minimum Pillar 1 requirement and a 3.15% Pillar 2 requirement (P2R)⁴. In line with the Capital Requirement Directive (CRD V), banks may partially use capital instruments that do not qualify as Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital, for example Additional Tier 1 or Tier 2 instruments, to meet the P2R.

Banking Rule BR/15/2022 – *Capital Buffers of Credit Institutions Authorised Under Banking Act 1994* requires institutions to maintain capital buffers over and above the Pillar 1 requirements. In accordance with this Rule, the Bank maintains a Capital Conservation Buffer (CCB), a Countercyclical Capital Buffer (CCyB), an Other-Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII) buffer and Systemic Risk Buffer (sSyRB). The latter was implemented by the Central Bank of Malta (CBM) in 2023 on all domestic Residential Real Estate (“RRE”) mortgages to natural persons applicable to all credit institutions in Malta. The sSyRB was phased-in, with a rate of 1% on domestic mortgages effective as from end September 2023 and 1.5% as from end March 2024. The capital buffers (collectively referred to as the Combined Buffer Requirement) are to be met from Common Equity Tier 1 capital and, if the minimum buffer requirements are breached, the Group might be subject to capital distribution constraints. Further information on the Bank’s capital buffer requirements is provided in tables ‘EU CC1’ and ‘EU CCyB1’ below.

As at 31 December 2024, the Bank’s Tier 1 and Total Capital Ratios stood at 14.59% and 20.07%, respectively. Both ratios are above the respective regulatory requirements outlined in table ‘EU KM1’ in Section 4.1. Further information on the composition of capital is provided in the table below.

³ The Bank has no exposures to derivatives and securitisation.

⁴ Further information on the capital requirements is included in Section 4.1, table EU KM1

EU CC1 - Composition of regulatory own funds

	€000	
1	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	147,368
2	Retained earnings	111,955
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	27,140
EU-5a	Independently reviewed interim profits net of any foreseeable charge or dividend	7,525
6	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments	294,038
7	Additional value adjustments (negative amount)	(207)
8	Intangible assets (net of related tax liability) (negative amount)	(15,161)
27a	Other regulatory adjustment – prudent valuation adjustment	(1,263)
28	Total regulatory adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	(16,631)
29	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	277,407
43	Total regulatory adjustments to Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	-
44	Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	-
45	Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	277,407
46	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	104,210
51	Tier 2 (T2) capital before regulatory adjustments	104,210
57	Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 (T2) capital	-
58	Tier 2 (T2) capital	104,210
59	Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)	381,617
60	Total risk exposure amount	1,900,999
61	Common Equity Tier 1 capital	14.59%
62	Tier 1 capital	14.59%
63	Total capital	20.07%
64	Institution CET1 overall capital requirements	9.75%
65	of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	2.50%
66	of which: countercyclical capital buffer requirement	0.08%
67	of which: systemic risk buffer requirement	0.52%
EU-67a	of which: Other Systemically Important Institution (O-SII) buffer requirement	0.38%
EU-67b	Of which: additional own funds requirements to address the risks other than the risk of excessive leverage	1.77%
68	Common Equity Tier 1 capital (as a percentage of risk exposure amount) available after meeting the minimum capital requirements	6.23%

Note 47.5 appended to the Financial Statements includes a reconciliation of regulatory own funds to the statement of financial position within the audited financial statements.

The CCyB is calculated as the weighted average of the buffers in effect in the jurisdictions to which the Bank has a credit exposure. The following table discloses the geographical distribution of the Bank's credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the institution-specific CCyB and the amount of the institution-specific CCyB.

EU CCyB1 - Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the counter-cyclical buffer

Country	Exposure value under the standardised approach	Total exposure value	Own Funds Requirement	Own fund requirement weights	Counter- cyclical buffer rate
	€000	€000	€000	%	%
Belgium	12,333,361	12,333	987	0.29	1.00
Czech Republic	238,566	239	19	0.01	1.25
Luxembourg	29,975,458	29,975	2,398	0.70	0.50
Macedonia	128,569	129	10	0.00	0.75
Norway	69,378	69	6	0.00	2.50
Romania	2,366,667	2,367	189	0.06	1.00
Slovakia	196,644	197	16	0.00	1.50
Hungary	2,059,965	2,060	165	0.05	1.00
United Kingdom	40,125,291	40,125	3,210	0.94	2.00
Croatia	2,510,210	2,510	201	0.06	1.50
France	68,655,416	68,655	5,492	1.60	1.00
Germany	65,532,519	65,533	5,243	1.53	0.75
Ireland	6,466,366	6,466	517	0.15	1.50
Netherlands	41,547,899	41,548	3,324	0.97	2.00
Poland	9,118,597	9,119	729	0.21	1.00
Lithuania	1,187,556	1,188	95	0.03	1.00
Sweden	164,366	164	13	0.00	2.00
Other	4,000,789,160	4,000,789	320,063		
Total	4,283,465,989	4,283,466	342,677		

EU CCyB2 - Amount of institution-specific countercyclical capital buffer

Total Risk Exposure Amount (€000)	1,900,999
Institution Specific Countercyclical Buffer Rate	0.08%
Institution Specific Countercyclical Buffer Requirement (€000)	1,607

6.3. Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process

The Bank performs an Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) in compliance with the Pillar 2 requirements of Banking Rule BR/12/2022 – The Supervisory Review Process of Credit Institutions Authorised Under the Banking Act 1994 and the CRR. This key decision-making tool is of utmost importance for keeping the Board of Directors informed about the Bank's ongoing risk assessment, the mitigation measures adopted and their impact on the capital requirements.

The Bank's ICAAP is based on the principle of proportionality set out in the above-mentioned Banking Rule and adopts a combination of quantitative capital and adequate systems and controls in fulfilment of these requirements. The production of the ICAAP is led by the ERM Unit, taking into consideration the Bank's Risk Appetite and underlying risk profile, business model, as well as the external environment.

In this exercise, the Bank's capital requirements are set at a starting point of zero. A structured and comprehensive assessment and quantification of all the material risks are performed. This involves an analysis to determine whether the minimum regulatory requirements for Pillar 1 risks are sufficient to cover the Bank's credit, operational and market risk. In addition, the ICAAP includes an assessment of other risks not covered by Pillar 1 risks and which are referred to as Pillar 2 risks. This includes capital for concentration risk. Since the minimum regulatory requirement for credit risk was calibrated for internationally diversified banks and the Bank's operations are concentrated locally, additional capital is allocated to account for individual, geography and sectoral concentration. This capital allocation is based on the results of an index-based model. The Bank also assesses and measures other risks to determine its capital requirements, including interest rate risk in the banking book, IT and cyber risk, ESG risk, reputation risk and other key risks.

The Bank's stress testing framework forms an integral part of the ICAAP. A number of severe but plausible scenarios are developed which test the resilience of the Bank's business model and risk profile. The latest ICAAP, which concluded that the Bank is adequately capitalised, was reviewed in detail by the Bank's Internal Audit Department and by the Risk Committee, and subsequently presented to the Board of Directors for approval. Following Board approval, the ICAAP Report was submitted to the MFSA.

6.4. Leverage Ratio

The Leverage Ratio measures the relationship between the Bank's Tier 1 Capital and its on- and off-balance sheet exposures. Under the CRR, the Bank is required to maintain a minimum Leverage Ratio of 3%.

The Board approves the Bank's Leverage Risk Policy, the purpose of which is to set forth a framework for comprehensively identifying, managing, monitoring and reporting on leverage and the risk of excessive leverage. The Leverage Ratio is reported to the Board of Directors as part of the Risk Appetite Dashboard and an assessment of the ratio is carried out as part of the risk report presented to the Risk Committee, Executive Committee and Assets-Liabilities Committee.

During 2024, the Bank's Leverage Ratio remained well above the regulatory minimum requirement of 3% and within the risk appetite set by the Board. As at 31 December 2024 the Bank's leverage ratio stood at 6.51%. This represents a decrease of 22 basis points, when compared to 6.73% as at 31 December 2023. The main contributor to this decrease in the ratio is the increase in the leverage ratio exposure measure which is attributed to the growth in the Bank's balance sheet. This increase outweighed the increase in Tier 1 Capital. The below tables provide a reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures (LR1), the components which make up the Bank's Leverage ratio (LR2) and a list of on-balance sheet exposures which form part of the computation of the ratio (LR3).

EU LR1 - LRSum: Summary reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures

	€000
1 Total assets as per published financial statements	4,149,901
2 Adjustment for entities which are consolidated for accounting purposes but are outside the scope of prudential consolidation	(4,482)
10 Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	148,725
12 Other adjustments	(10,678)
13 Total exposure measure	4,283,466

EU LR2 - LRCom: Leverage ratio common disclosure

	€000
1 On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives and SFTs, but including collateral)	4,149,901
6 Asset amounts deducted in determining Tier 1 capital	(15,160)
7 Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)	4,134,741
19 Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	1,216,685
20 Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts	(1,067,960)
22 Total off-balance sheet exposures	148,725
23 Tier 1 capital	277,407
24 Total Exposures	4,283,466
25 Leverage Ratio	6.48%

EU LR3 - LRSpl: Split-up of on balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs and exempted exposures)

	€000
EU-1 Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs), of which:	4,149,901
EU-2 Trading book exposures	-
EU-3 Banking book exposures, of which:	4,149,901
EU-4 Covered bonds	15,974
EU-5 Exposures treated as sovereigns	289,310
EU-6 Exposures to regional governments, MDBs, international organisations and PSE NOT treated as sovereigns	39,331
EU-7 Institutions	73,729
EU-8 Secured by mortgages of immovable properties	2,098,275
EU-9 Retail exposures	68,693
EU-10 Corporate exposures	863,537
EU-11 Exposures in default	27,701
EU-12 Other exposures	673,351

7. Credit Risk

Credit Risk is the possibility that a borrower or counterparty fails to meet the respective obligations in accordance with, or performing according to, agreed terms. Alternatively, losses may result from reduction in asset value arising from actual or perceived deterioration in credit quality. The Bank's exposure to credit risk is diversified by maximum single exposure, by sector, by geography, by tenor and by product, through its engagement in Retail Lending, Commercial Lending, Trade Finance and selected participations in Syndicated Lending. In view of the nature of its business, the Bank's financial assets are inherently and predominantly subject to credit risk. Thus, Management has put in place internal control systems to evaluate, approve and monitor credit risks relating to both the investments and the lending portfolios.

The Bank has a detailed Credit Risk Policy in support of the Bank's Credit Risk Appetite, which lays down the principles for the management of credit risk within each business area mentioned above. The Bank adopts a conservative approach to credit risk taking into consideration potential vulnerabilities that may trigger pressures on asset quality.

The following sections provide a brief outline of the main elements of the Bank's credit risk management framework.

7.1. Credit Approval

The Bank has a process in place for the approval of new credit facilities, as well as the amendment, renewal and review of existing credit facilities. The Bank's credit approval process establishes delegated authority and corresponding accountability for decisions taken. It designates different levels of authority to approve credits or changes in credit terms as approved by the Risk Committee and the Board of Directors.

Credit applications above a certain threshold are reviewed by the Board Credit Committee (BCC) and Management Credit Committee (MCC), within the parameters set by the Board of Directors. Further information on the role of these Committees and the Members who sit on these Committees is provided in the 'Corporate Governance Statement' included in the Group's 2024 Annual Report.

Proposals for credit facilities are issued by business units (the first line) and escalated to higher levels for approval, depending on various lending criteria and types of limits. Credit facilities above a certain threshold, or which do not fall under the Bank's lending policies, are proposed to the CRM Unit for evaluation and recommended to the MCC, BCC and Board depending on the exposure involved or the relative terms and conditions. Credit facilities cannot be presented for approval without a recommendation from the CRM Unit.

Syndicated loan proposals are evaluated by the Syndications and Trade Finance Unit, reviewed by the Head of Asset Liability Management (ALM) and recommended by the CRM Unit. These are then approved by the respective delegated Management authority or MCC/BCC.

The Assets-Liabilities Committee is responsible for the asset liability management strategy and optimisation of capital allocation in terms of ALM strategy and regulatory requirements. New investment products are proposed by the Investment Management Department to the Assets-Liabilities Committee, who is responsible for pricing decisions on all of the Bank's products, and are approved by the Executive Committee. Counterparty exposures and credit limits with correspondent banks are recommended by the Bank's ALM function to the Assets-Liabilities Committee for approval.

The Group has in place a Related Party Transactions Approval Framework, which sets out the safeguards that are to be applied to transactions and arrangements between the Group and a Related Party, which transactions must be entered into at arm's length and on a normal, commercial basis.

Approval by the Audit Committee is specifically required if the transaction/s which the Group intends to make with a Related Party fall/s within the scope of the requirements of Capital Market Rules dealing with Related Party Transactions. Otherwise, such transactions are to be brought to the attention of the Audit Committee solely for notification and monitoring process. As part of its duties, the Audit Committee ensures that transactions with related parties are adequately assessed, reviewed and reported to ensure approval at arm's length. Further disclosure on Related Party Transactions is included in Note 46 appended to the 2024 Financial Statements which are available on the Bank's website.

7.2. Credit Analysis

The Bank has detailed credit granting processes and criteria to evaluate the credit risk inherent in a borrowing application. Current lending procedures in place comply with current banking regulations identifying situations where, in considering an advance, it is appropriate to classify a group of borrowers as connected counterparties and are thus treated as being a single

exposure in line with CRR. The Bank takes into consideration the total credit facilities at the disposal of connected customers before considering extending further facilities to one of the members forming part of a group of connected customers.

Monitoring and control processes are considered to be of critical importance during the life cycle of a credit facility and contribute towards the maintenance of a sound lending portfolio. To ascertain the current financial conditions of the borrower or counterparty, as well as to keep track of decisions made and the history of the credit, the Bank maintains electronic credit profiles and physical dossiers with all the relevant information and documentation supporting credit decisions made. The Bank applies an automated proprietary credit rating system to differentiate the degree of credit risk inherent in advances extended to its customers. Internal ratings are used to grade loans and advances with a view to assess the repayment ability of the borrower and to assist in the monitoring and control of credit risk. The credit rating process also provides a basis for the recognition of any under-performing or non-performing credit facilities and for the assessment of expected credit losses in line with IFRS9 regulations. Further information on the credit risk grading system applied by the Bank is provided in Note 47.2.1.1 ('Credit Risk Grading') to the Financial Statements

In line with IFRS 9, the Bank calculates the Expected Credit Loss (ECL) in respect of financial instruments, which is based on the probability of default (PD), loss given default (LGD) and exposure at default (EAD) of each exposure. The Risk Committee is responsible to certify to the Bank's Board that the provisioning methodology recommended by Management is adequate and in line with the regulatory requirements and the related financial reporting standards. IFRS 9 outlines a 'three-stage' model for impairment based on changes in credit quality since initial recognition:

- Stage 1: performing exposures which are not credit impaired;
- Stage 2: underperforming exposures where a significant increase in credit risk has occurred since initial recognition; and
- Stage 3: non-performing exposures which are credit impaired.

In accordance with Article 111 of the CRR, for on-balance sheet exposures the net exposure is the accounting value remaining after deducting the expected credit losses (also referred to as 'specific credit risk adjustments' in line with the EBA Guidelines referred to in Section 7 above). Further information on the calculation of expected credit losses is provided in Section 7.2 above. The exposure value of off-balance sheet items is the value remaining after applying the respective percentage listed in Article 111 of the CRR to the nominal value. The net exposure value is applied when calculating risk weighted assets.

Further information on the calculation of the ECL is provided in Note 47.2.2 ('Expected Credit Loss Measurement') to the Financial Statements.

7.3. Credit and Concentration Risk Limits

The Risk Department monitors the Bank's exposure to credit risk to ensure that this remains within its risk appetite. The Bank has in place credit risk metrics, and associated risk appetite limits, which are reported to Executive Management, the Assets-Liabilities Committee, Risk Committee and the Board. These include, inter alia, the Value-at-Risk of the Bank's Investments, Credit Growth, Expected Credit Loss, Non-performing Exposures and credit risk weighted assets.

The Bank is exposed to concentration risk within its loans and investments portfolios (as well as within its deposits portfolios). Concentration risk arises when the Bank has significant exposures to a single counterparty, groups of connected counterparties, and counterparties in the same geographic region or from the same sector of activity. Further information on the Bank's exposure to concentration risk is included in Note 47 to the Financial Statements available on the Bank's website.

To manage its exposure to concentration risk across its portfolios, the Bank adopts an exposure limits system by individual and connected exposures, sector and geography. The Risk Committee and the Board are regularly informed about the exposure of the Bank against such limits.

In terms of the CRR, an exposure to a customer or group of connected customers is considered to be a large exposure where its value, before the deduction of eligible credit risk mitigation, is equal to or exceeds 10% of the Bank's Tier 1 Capital. Large exposures are reported both internally and to the Authority and are monitored continuously to ensure that these do not exceed the regulatory threshold of 25% of the Bank's Tier 1 Capital.

The Bank also monitors the individual/connected counterparties, sectoral concentration and country concentration within both its advances and investments portfolios and translates this into an economic capital figure for the purposes of capital allocation (Pillar 2) as part of its ICAAP, using the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI).

7.4. Quantitative Disclosures on Credit Risk

The disclosures in this section are in line with Part Eight of the CRR, which is further supplemented by EBA Guidelines (EBA/ITS/2020/04) on disclosure requirements.

7.4.1. Credit Quality

The following table provides an overview of the gross carrying amount, related accumulated impairments, and collateral and financial guarantees received for performing and non-performing exposures classified by counterparty sector. Furthermore, table 'EU CR1-A' classifies the exposures (net values) by residual maturity.

EU CR1 Performing and non-performing exposures and related provisions.

	Gross carrying amount/nominal amount						Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions						Accumulated partial write-off	Collateral and financial guarantees received		
	Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures			Performing exposures – accumulated impairment and provisions			Non-performing exposures – accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions				On performing exposures	On non-performing exposures	
	€000	Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2	€000	Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3	€000	Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2	€000	Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3				€000
		€000	€000		€000	€000		€000	€000		€000	€000		€000	€000	
010	Loans and advances	3,171,427	3,086,661	84,766	48,879		48,879	(2,733)	(1,524)	(1,209)	(16,044)	-	(16,044)	(18,778)	14,236	10
020	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030	General governments	1,592	1,592	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040	Credit institutions	44,624	44,624	-	-	-	-	(112)	(112)	-	-	-	-	(112)	-	-
050	Other financial corporations	157,921	155,541	2,380	-	-	-	(214)	(214)	-	-	-	-	(214)	-	-
060	Non-financial corporations	755,155	684,679	70,476	35,861	-	35,862	(1,766)	(735)	(1,031)	(11,488)	-	(11,488)	(13,256)	3,506	-
070	Of which SMEs	623,829	588,001	35,828	25,087	-	25,087	(508)	(309)	(199)	(6,908)	-	(6,908)	(7,415)	3,506	-
080	Households	2,212,135	2,200,225	11,910	13,018	-	13,017	(641)	(463)	(178)	(4,556)	-	(4,556)	(5,196)	10,730	10
090	Debt securities	388,207	388,003	204	-	-	-	(103)	(73)	(30)	-	-	-	(103)	-	-
100	Central banks			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110	General governments	282,595	282,595	-	-	-	-	(55)	(55)	-	-	-	-	(55)	-	-
120	Credit institutions	33,841	33,841	-	-	-	-	(1)	(1)	-	-	-	-	(1)	-	-
130	Other financial corporations	45,646	45,442	204	-	-	-	(40)	(10)	(30)	-	-	-	(40)	-	-

140	<i>Non-financial corporations</i>	26,125	26,125	-	-	-	-	(7)	(7)	-	-	-	-	(7)	-	-
150	Off-balance-sheet exposures	1,216,354	1,211,186	5,168	331	-	331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
160	<i>Central banks</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
170	<i>General governments</i>	4,936	4,936	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
180	<i>Credit institutions</i>	999	999	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
190	<i>Other financial corporations</i>	72,658	72,654	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
200	<i>Non-financial corporations</i>	416,181	413,145	3,036	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
210	<i>Households</i>	721,580	719,452	2,128	326	-	326	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
220	Total	4,775,988	4,685,850	90,138	49,210	-	49,210	(2,836)	(1,597)	(1,239)	(16,044)	-	(16,044)	(18,881)	14,236	10

EU CR1-A – Maturity of exposures

	On-demand	<= 1 year	>1 year< = 5 years	> 5 years	Total
	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000
1 Loans and advances	131,944	110,707	248,796	2,708,812	3,200,259
2 Debt Securities	15,975	203,405	133,381	33,408	386,169
3 Total	147,919	314,112	382,177	2,742,220	3,586,428

The following table shows the changes in the Bank's stock of defaulted loans during the twelve months ending 31 December 2024. None of the Bank's holdings of debt securities defaulted during this period.

EU CR2: Changes in the stock of non-performing loans and advances

	Gross carrying amount €000
010 Initial stock of non-performing loans and advances	65,770
020 Inflows to non-performing portfolios	8,379
030 Outflows from non-performing portfolios of which:	(25,270)
040 <i>Outflows due to write-offs</i>	(125)
050 <i>Outflows due to other situations</i>	(25,145)
060 Final stock of non-performing loans and advances	48,879

The Bank renegotiates loans and advances to customers in financial difficulties (referred to as 'forbearance') to maximise collection opportunities and minimise the risk of default. The table below shows the gross carrying amount of forbore exposures and the related accumulated impairment, provisions, accumulated change in fair value due to credit risk, and collateral and financial guarantees received (as per Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 2021/451).

EU CQ1 Credit quality of forbore exposures

		Gross carrying amount/nominal amount of exposures with forbearance measures				Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions		Collateral received and financial guarantees received on forbore exposures	
		Non-performing forbore				On performing forbore exposures	On non-performing forbore exposures	Of which collateral and financial guarantees received on non-performing exposures with forbearance measures	
		Performing forbore	Of which defaulted	Of which impaired					
		€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000
005	Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010	Loans and advances	9,401	16,379		16,379	(53)	(4,610)	31,417	11,206
020	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030	General governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040	Credit institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050	Other financial corporations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060	Non-financial corporations	7,689	14,689	-	14,689	(53)	(3,358)	28,908	10,771
070	Households	1,712	1,690	-	1,690	-	(1,252)	2,509	435
080	Debt Securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090	Loan commitments given	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100	Total	9,401	16,379		16,379	(53)	(4,610)	31,417	11,206

EU CQ2: Quality of forbearance

		Gross carrying amount of forborne exposures €000
010	Loans and advances that have been forborne more than twice	-
020	Non-performing forborne loans and advances that failed to meet the non-performing exit criteria	6,029

The table below shows the credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days (as per Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 2021/451).

EU CQ3 Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days⁵

		Gross carrying amount/nominal amount										
		Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures							
		Not past due or past due ≤ 30 days	Past due > 30 days ≤ 90 days	Unlikely to pay that are not past due or are past due ≤ 90 days	Past due > 90 days ≤ 180 days	Past due > 180 days ≤ 1 year	Past due > 1 year ≤ 2 years	Past due > 2 years ≤ 5 years	Past due > 5 years ≤ 7 years	Past due > 7 years	Of which defaulted	
		€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	
005	Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	388,505	388,505	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
010	Loans and advances	3,171,427	3,086,661	84,766	48,879	23,590	-	-	1,380	7,116	2,104	14,689
020	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

⁵ Further information on the definition of default and credit impaired exposures is included in Note 47.2.2.2 to the Financial Statements.

030	General governments	1,592	1,592	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040	Credit institutions	44,624	44,624	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050	Other financial corporations	157,921	155,541	2,380	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060	Non-financial corporations	755,155	684,679	70,476	35,862	17,888	-	-	1,081	5,348	1,104	10,441	-
070	Of which SMEs	623,829	588,001	35,828	25,087	7,113	-	-	1,081	5,348	1,104	10,441	-
080	Households	2,212,135	2,200,225	11,910	13,017	5,702	-	-	299	1,768	1,000	4,248	-
090	Debt securities	388,207	388,003	204	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110	General governments	282,595	282,595	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120	Credit institutions	33,841	33,841	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130	Other financial corporations	45,646	45,442	204	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140	Non-financial corporations	26,125	26,125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150	Off-balance-sheet exposures	1,216,354			331								331
160	Central banks	-			-								-
170	General governments	4,936			-								-
180	Credit institutions	999			-								-
190	Other financial corporations	72,658			-								-
200	Non-financial corporations	416,181			5								5
210	Households	721,580			326								326
220	Total	5,164,493	3,863,169	84,970	49,210	23,590	-	-	1,380	7,116	2,104	14,689	331

The table below shows the geographical distribution of the Bank's non-performing exposures. Exposures to countries other than Malta are considered to be material where these are equal to, or higher than, 10% of the Bank's total exposures (both domestic and non-domestic). Exposures to countries other than Malta, represent 10.7% of the Bank's total exposures, with the top three exposures being France, Germany and United Kingdom.

EU CQ4: Quality of non-performing exposures by geography

	€000	Not past due or past due ≤ 30 days		Accumulated impairment €000	Provisions on off-balance-sheet commitments and financial guarantees given €000	Accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk on non-performing exposures €000
		Of which non-performing	Of which subject to impairment			
010 On-balance-sheet exposures	3,608,513	-	-	(18,881)	-	-
020 Malta	3,099,698	-	-	(12,808)	-	-
070 Other countries	508,815	-	-	(6,073)	-	-
080 Off-balance-sheet exposures	1,216,685	331	-	-	-	-
090 Malta	1,208,858	331	-	-	-	-
140 Other countries	7,827	-	-	-	-	-
150 Total	4,825,198	331	-	(18,881)	-	-

EU CQ5: Credit quality of loans and advances to non-financial corporations by industry

		Not past due or past due ≤ 30 days		Accumulated impairment	Accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk on non-performing exposures
		Of which non-performing	Of which loans and advances subject to impairment		
	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000
010	Agriculture, forestry and fishing	46,089		(80)	-
020	Mining and quarrying	-		-	-
030	Manufacturing	99,226		(971)	-
040	Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	6,182		(6)	-
050	Water supply	1,862		-	-
060	Construction	94,819		(2,986)	-
070	Wholesale and retail trade	60,331		(706)	-
080	Transport and storage	21,635		(18)	-
090	Accommodation and food service activities	126,218		(6)	-
100	Information and communication	9,565		(36)	-
110	Financial and insurance activities	-		-	-
120	Real estate activities	241,193		(7,047)	-
130	Professional, scientific and technical activities	33,299		(225)	-
140	Administrative and support service activities	27,794		(37)	-
150	Public administration and defense, compulsory social security	-		-	-
160	Education	20		-	-
170	Human health services and social work activities	20,799		(390)	-
180	Arts, entertainment and recreation	618		(1)	-
190	Other services	1,366		(746)	-
200	Total	791,016		(13,255)	-

EU CQ6: Collateral valuation - loans and advances

	Loans and advances	Performing	Of which past due > 30 days ≤ 90 days	Non-performing	Unlikely to pay that are not past due or are past due ≤ 90 days	Past due > 90 days							
						Of which past due > 90 days ≤ 180 days	Of which: past due > 180 days ≤ 1 year	Of which: past due > 1 years ≤ 2 years	Of which: past due > 2 years ≤ 5 years	Of which: past due > 5 years ≤ 7 years	Of which: past due > 7 years		
010	Gross carrying amount	3,220,307	3,171,428	84,766	48,879	23,590	25,289	-	-	1,380	7,116	2,104	14,689
020	Of which secured	315,298	307,930	12,528	7,368	3,989	3,379	-	-	4	584	501	2,289
030	Of which secured with immovable property	2,855,565	2,819,935	54,668	35,630	15,202	20,428	-	-	1,174	6,283	1,454	11,517
040	Of which instruments with LTV higher than 60% and lower or equal to 80%	692,853	688,708	-	4,144	2,067	2,077	-	-	-	-	-	-
050	Of which instruments with LTV higher than 80% and lower or equal to 100%	814,861	813,148	-	1,713	321	1,391	-	-	-	-	-	-
060	Of which instruments with LTV higher than 100%	133,784	130,055	-	3,729	3,729	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070	Accumulated impairment for secured assets	(68)	(2)	-	(66)	(60)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6)
080	Collateral	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090	Of which value capped at the value of exposure	2,633,694	2,608,058	47,491	25,636	10,579	15,056	-	-	903	5,774	1,323	7,057
100	Of which immovable property	2,542,933	2,517,425	45,162	25,508	10,467	15,041	-	-	900	5,774	1,323	7,045
110	Of which value above the cap	15,177	14,254	85	923	377	546	-	-	-	-	-	-
120	Of which immovable property	930	18	-	912	367	545	-	-	-	-	-	-
130	Financial guarantees received	14,247	14,236	85	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140	Accumulated partial write-off	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

The table below shows the collateral obtained by taking possession and execution processes. It is the Bank's policy to dispose of repossessed properties in an orderly fashion. The proceeds are used to reduce or repay the outstanding claim. In general, the Bank does not occupy repossessed properties for business use. No new properties have been repossessed during the financial year ending 31 December 2024.

EU CQ7 Collateral obtained by taking possession and execution processes

		Collateral obtained by taking possession	
		Value at initial recognition	Accumulated negative changes
		€000	€000
010	Property, plant and equipment (PP&E)	-	-
020	Other than PP&E	1,331	-
030	Residential immovable property	331	-
040	Commercial immovable property	1,000	-
050	Movable property	-	-
060	Equity and debt instruments	-	-
070	Other	-	-
080	Total	1,331	-

7.5. Credit Risk Mitigation

The Bank generally takes collateral against its loans and advances portfolio, most of which consists of tangible assets. These are taken by the Bank as a fall-back, hence acting as a secondary source of repayment should the primary source of repayment fail. The security provided does not form the main basis of the lending decision. The Bank has to be satisfied, amongst other things, that the primary source of repayment will be reliable and robust. In this spirit, the taking of collateral is neither considered a substitute for a comprehensive assessment of the credit application and the corresponding borrower or counterparty, nor can it compensate for insufficient information or deficient primary source of repayment. The Credit Risk Policy of the Bank stipulates inter alia the types of collateral and valuations to be carried out on them.

Other collateral, which is not tangible, is sought to ensure that the borrowing customer will abide by the terms and conditions of sanction, thereby reducing the default risk associated with the borrowing customer. The value and type of collateral required depends on an assessment of the credit risk of the counterparty. Further information on the collateral taken by the Bank and other credit enhancements is provided in Note 47.2.3 to the 2024 Financial Statements available on the Bank's website.

The Credit Risk Policy sets out the process in respect of the selection of the Bank's appraisers to perform valuation of immovable properties and establishes an internal quality assurance process to monitor and review the performance of the selected appraisers. The Bank reviews the valuations received from the valuer, in particular focusing on aspects such as whether the approaches and assumptions are appropriate, clear and transparent, the prudence of such assumptions and the clear and reasonable identification of comparable properties used as a benchmark.

The table below shows the amount of the Bank's on-balance sheet exposure value (Net) as at 31 December 2024 that is fully unsecured and the amount that is covered, either partly or in full, by eligible collateral, including all types of collateral and financial guarantees:

EU CR3 - CRM techniques – Overview: Disclosure of the use of credit risk mitigation techniques

		Exposures unsecured: carrying amount	Secured Carrying Amount			
			Of which: Secured by Collateral	Of which: Secured by financial guarantees	Of which: Secured by credit derivatives	
		€000	€000	€000	€000	
1	Loans and advances	940,637	2,647,940	2,633,694	14,247	-
2	Debt securities	388,104	-	-	-	
3	Total	1,328,741	2,647,940	2,633,694	14,247	-
4	<i>Of which non-Performing</i>	7,189	25,646	25,636	10	
EU-5	<i>Of which defaulted</i>	7,189	25,646			

Collateral held as security for financial assets other than loans and advances depends on the nature of the instrument. Debt securities are generally unsecured, with the exception of covered bonds which are typically secured by residential mortgages.

The table below shows the on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures before and after the application of the credit risk mitigation (CRM) and credit conversion factors (CCF) in accordance with the CRR. The risk weighted amount for each exposure class is also presented below. The Bank applies the standardised approach for the purposes of calculating the risk weighted exposure amounts for credit risk in accordance with Part Three, Title II, Chapter 2 of the CRR.

EU CR4: Standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and credit risk mitigation effects

	Exposures before CCF and CRM		Exposures post-CCF and CRM		RWA and RWA density	
	On-balance sheet amount €000	Off-balance sheet amount €000	On-balance sheet amount €000	Off-balance sheet amount €000	RWA €000	RWA Density %
	1	638,005	33,970	289,310	18,049	6,810
2	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	39,331	-	39,331	-	2,089	5%
5	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	63,832	-	73,729	-	28,025	38%
7	863,436	466,752	863,537	8,296	503,692	58%
8	68,693	67,832	68,693	6,148	50,832	68%
9	2,098,275	648,131	2,098,275	116,232	863,169	39%
10	27,701	-	27,701	-	34,273	124%
11	61,583	-	61,583	-	92,374	150%
12	15,974	-	15,974	-	1,597	10%
13	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	51,874	-	51,874	-	32,702	63%
15	10,316	-	318	-	318	100%
16	195,721	-	544,416	-	138,819	25%
17	4,134,741	1,216,685	4,134,741	148,725	1,754,700	

The table below presents a breakdown of credit risk exposures under the standardised approach by exposure class and risk weight. The risk weights correspond to the relative riskiness attributed to the exposure according to the standardised approach outlined by the CRR. The risk weight is based on the credit quality step of each exposure as outlined in Part Three, Title II, Chapter 2 of the CRR.

To calculate the risk weighted exposure under the Standardised Approach, the Bank refers to credit assessments issued by External Credit Assessment Institutions (ECAIs) – Moody's, S&P and Fitch, in line with the CRR. The ratings are mapped to the credit quality steps as outlined in Regulation (EU) 2016/1800 which lays down the implementing technical standards with regards to the allocation of credit assessments of ECAIs to an objective scale of credit quality steps. For unrated exposures, risk weights are assigned in accordance with the CRR. The Standardised Approach is based on the assumption that the Bank's portfolio is sufficiently granular, as this methodology has been calibrated for internationally active banks. For this reason, the Bank also allocates additional capital to cover concentration risk under Pillar 2 (Section 6.3). Further information on the Bank's risk-weighted exposure to credit risk is provided in Section 6.2 (template OV1).

EU CR5: Standardised approach – exposures by asset classes and risk weights

Exposure Classes	Risk Weights									Total Credit Exposure amount €000	
	0%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others		
	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	€000	
1 Central governments or central banks	288,424	-	10,492	-	7,463	-	980	-	-	-	307,359
2 Regional government or local authorities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 Public sector entities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Multilateral development banks	28,885	-	10,446	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39,331
5 International Organisations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Institutions	-	-	45,960	-	17,872	-	9,897	-	-	-	73,729
7 Corporates	-	-	20,322	26,198	546,197	-	279,116	-	-	-	871,833
8 Retail Exposures	-	-	-	-	-	74,841	-	-	-	-	74,841
9 Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	1,988,580	9,123	216,804	-	-	-	-	2,214,507
10 Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,559	13,142	-	-	27,701
11 Exposures associated with particularly high risk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61,583	-	-	61,583
12 Covered bonds	-	15,974	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,974
13 Exposures to institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 Units or shares in collective investment undertakings	17,895	-	178	-	5,209	-	25,653	2,939	-	-	51,874
15 Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	318	-	-	-	321
16 Other assets	388,272	-	1	-	27,491	-	124,449	18	4,185	-	544,416
17 Total	723,476	15,974	87,399	2,014,778	613,355	291,645	454,972	77,682	4,185	-	4,283,466

8. Market Risk

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of financial instruments will fluctuate due to changes in market variables such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and prices of equities, bonds and commodities.

The Bank's exposure to market risk is limited since its trading portfolio is minimal⁶. This is consistent with the Bank's Risk Appetite. In accordance with Article 94 of the CRR, the Group is exempted from the trading book capital requirements.

The Bank's exposure to market risk is mainly related to:

- i. Interest rate risk – the risk that the Group's financial position and cash flow is exposed to unfavourable movements in interest rates;
- ii. Foreign exchange risk – the risk that the Group's financial position and cash flow are exposed to unfavourable movements in foreign exchange rates.

The Bank had an investment of €6.2 million in equities at the end of 2024. The risk associated with this exposure is therefore not considered to be material.

The ALCO is primarily responsible for balance sheet management and pricing policies. Towards this, it reviews financial information and assesses the impact of various types of risks—related to changes in interest rates, exchange rates and the market—on the Bank's profitability and financial position.

8.1. Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book

The Bank is mainly exposed to interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB), which arises from the Bank's non-trading activities. The Bank has an Interest Rate Risk Policy approved by the Board, which sets out a comprehensive risk management process that identifies, measures, monitors and controls interest rate risk exposures, whilst also ensuring appropriate oversight by Senior Management, Board-appointed committees and ultimately the Board, to confirm that this risk is consistent with the Bank's risk appetite.

The Bank's interest rate risk management framework is in line with the relevant guidelines issued by the EBA. The Bank's exposure to interest rate risk is monitored on a monthly basis by the ERM Unit and verified by the Asset Liability Management Department, and is reported to Executive Committee, Assets-Liabilities Committee and Risk Committee on a quarterly basis. Further information on the role of each of these Committees in the management of interest rate risk is provided in the 'Corporate Governance Statement' within the Annual Report.

The Bank allocates internal capital, under Pillar 2, commensurate with its exposure to IRRBB, taking into account the impact of potential changes in its economic value and future earnings resulting from changes in interest rates.

8.1.1. The Measurement of Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is measured from two perspectives – the economic value of equity (EVE) and the earnings-based approach. Both of these perspectives are complementary in understanding and assessing IRRBB. Earnings measures capture the short-term effect of interest rate changes on the Bank's earnings. On the other hand, economic value measures capture the long-term effect of interest rate changes, which is a key aspect in defining a business strategy and keeping an adequate level of capitalisation in the long term.

The management of Interest Rate Risk through the Earnings Perspective

The Assets-Liabilities Committee is responsible to ensure that there exists an appropriate margin between interest receivable and interest payable. Consequently, the Committee must ensure that the pricing of assets and liabilities supports an adequate Net Interest Margin. In pricing commercial facilities Management is guided by the Bank's Credit Pricing Model, which takes into consideration loan characteristics, such as, the loan term, amount, capital requirement and collateral.

⁶ As at 31 December 2024, the Bank held no exposures within its trading portfolio.

The ERM Unit monitors on a monthly basis the sensitivity of financial assets and liabilities to parallel shifts in the yield curve of 200 basis points over a time horizon of one year. Sensitivity of the net interest income as at December 2024, as reported in Table 'EU IRRBB1', was within the Bank's Risk Appetite.

The management of Interest Rate Risk through the Economic Value Perspective

Modelling of non-maturity deposits (NMDs) forms an integral part of the management of IRRBB. A NMD is, as the name suggests, a deposit that does not have a predetermined maturity, i.e. the deposit can be withdrawn at any time. However, even though NMDs can be withdrawn at any time, the volumes of NMDs have historically been relatively stable. Through a historical analysis of customer deposits, the Bank identifies the proportion of core deposits, these being NMDs which are unlikely to reprice even under significant changes in interest rates. Non-core deposits are considered as overnight deposits and placed into the overnight time bucket. Core deposits are slotted into the appropriate time bucket, up to a maximum average maturity of five years.

Other products with behavioural optionality include fixed rate loans subject to pre-payment risk. Where the total of both fixed-rate loans and fixed-rate assets is less than 5% of the non-trading book positions that are accounted for, the Bank is required to carry out a historical analysis to determine the incidence of prepayments of fixed rate loans during the fixed rate period (i.e. the conditional prepayment rate).

On a monthly basis, the ERM Unit monitors the impact of six pre-defined shock scenarios, where the maximum change in EVE is expressed as a percentage of Tier 1 Capital. In line with the EBA guidelines, the Bank's economic value must not decline by more than 15% of Tier 1 Capital. In the event that the decline in economic value exceeds the applicable threshold, the Bank is required to inform the Regulator. The potential change in the EVE under each of the six scenarios is included in Table 'EU IRRBB1'. The most unfavourable scenario, as at 31 December 2024, resulted through the 'parallel down' scenario. The scenarios are reviewed periodically by Management to ensure that these capture all plausible scenarios. The scenarios are reviewed periodically by Management to ensure that these capture all plausible scenarios. As from 31 December 2023, Management added a scenario where long-term rates drop below short-term rate assuming that the market starts to price in rate cuts, resulting in an 'inverted steeper' yield curve. As at 31 December 2024, this scenario would result in an impact of +€5.8 million on the Bank's economic value.

During 2024, the resulting impact on the Bank's economic value was at all times well within the established regulatory requirement and within the Bank's risk appetite.

EU IRRBB1 - Interest rate risks of non-trading book activities

	Changes of the economic value of equity		Changes of the net interest income	
	Dec-24 €000	Dec-23 €000	Dec-24 €000	Dec-23 €000
1 Parallel up	4,060	27,526	7,805	2,101
2 Parallel down	(10,016)	(28,919)	(7,805)	(2,101)
3 Steepener	12,825	(4,884)		
4 Flattener	6,545	9,647		
5 Short rates up	4,435	17,209		
6 Short rates down	1,925	(17,695)		

8.2. Foreign Exchange Risk

The Bank's financial assets and liabilities are predominantly denominated in Euro. The Foreign Exchange Risk Policy articulates a framework for identifying, measuring, managing and reporting on the Bank's exposure to this risk. The Policy clearly outlines the structure, responsibilities and controls for the management of foreign exchange risk and sets limits, on the level of exposure by currency and in total, which are monitored regularly. The ERM Unit is responsible for carrying out sensitivity analyses showing the impact on the Bank's financial position as a result of an adverse/favourable movement in all currencies against the Euro. Further information on the Group's aggregate amount of assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies is included in Note 47.4.2 appended to the Financial Statements.

Capital is allocated for the Bank's exposure to foreign exchange risk in line with the Basic Method stipulated by the CRR. Further information on the Bank's risk-weighted exposure to foreign exchange risk is provided in Section 6.2.

9. Liquidity and Funding Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Group cannot meet its financial obligations as they fall due in the short term and medium term, either at all or without incurring unacceptable losses.

Funding risk is the risk that the Group cannot meet its financial obligations as they fall due in the medium to long term, either at all or without increasing funding costs unacceptably. Funding risk can also be seen as the risk that the Group's assets are not stably funded in the medium and long term.

The Bank manages these risks by seeking to match the maturities of assets and liabilities in its balance-sheet. The management of liquidity and funding is governed by a detailed Liquidity and Funding Risk Policy. This Policy establishes clear lines of responsibility, limits and guidance on the measurement and monitoring of the Group's net funding requirements. The Asset Liability Management Unit is responsible for implementing such Policy; whereas the Assets-Liabilities Committee is responsible for monitoring and ensuring the implementation of and adherence with the Policy, as well as ensuring consistency with the Bank's Risk Appetite. It also ensures that adequate liquidity is held to meet both expected and unexpected commitments. This Policy includes a detailed Liquidity Contingency Plan, which addresses the strategy for handling liquidity crises and includes procedures for covering cash flow shortfalls in emergency situations.

Through the Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process (ILAAP), the Bank ensures that it maintains, at all times, liquidity resources, which are adequate, both as to amount and quality, to ensure that there is no significant risk that its liabilities cannot be met as they fall due. Thus, the ILAAP serves as a key decision-making tool in liquidity and funding management. The latest ILAAP, compiled in line with EBA Guidelines, concluded that the Bank maintains adequate levels of liquidity buffers and adequate funding. The document is reviewed in detail by the Bank's Internal Audit Department and Risk Committee and subsequently presented to the Board for approval. Following Board approval, the ILAAP Report is submitted to the MFSA.

The Bank funds loans primarily by sourcing retail deposits. As at 31st December 2024, the Bank's Loan-to-Deposit ratio, computed in line with the methodology adopted by the EBA, was equal to 93.85%, which is in line with the Bank's risk appetite. Moreover, the Bank has a high level of stable deposits, which acts as a virtually permanent source of liquidity. The Bank also has a portfolio of highly marketable assets that can be easily liquidated in the event of any unforeseen cash flow requirements. Further information on the Bank's encumbered and unencumbered assets is included in Note 47.3 to the Financial Statements.

9.1. Liquidity Coverage Ratio

The Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) measures the Group's liquidity buffer to its net liquidity outflows over a 30 calendar days stressed period. The Bank reports this ratio to the MFSA on a monthly basis. The Bank's LCR fluctuated consistently above the applicable minimum requirement of 100% and in line with its risk appetite. As at 31st December 2024, the LCR stood at 197.38% (31st December 2023: 139.9%).

The Bank's liquidity buffer is classified into:

- Level 1 assets (95%), which include withdrawable central bank reserves and central government assets; and
- Level 2 assets (5%), which include regional government or public sector entity assets and corporate debt securities with a credit rating of BBB- or higher.

The liquidity buffer of the Bank improved further during 2024. This outweighed the increase in 'net liquidity outflow' (as defined for the purposes of the LCR), leading to an improvement in the LCR by 57.48%, when compared to 31 December 2023. The increase in 'net liquidity outflow' reflects the increase in the Bank's customer deposits.

The below table discloses quantitative information on the Bank's LCR for each of the four calendar quarters, starting March 2024 and ending December 2024. The figures are calculated as the simple averages of month-end observations over the twelve months preceding the end of each quarter. Given that the Bank does not have another 'material currency'⁷, other than the Euro, the Bank reports the LCR in the reporting currency (Euro).

⁷ Banks are required to assess the LCR by material currencies. A currency is considered to be a 'material currency' if the aggregate liabilities denominated in that currency amount to, or exceed, 5% of a bank's total liabilities.

EU LIQ1 - Quantitative information of Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR)

Quarter ending on		Total unweighted value (average)				Total weighted value (average)			
		Dec-24 €000	Sep-24 €000	Jun-24 €000	Mar-24 €000	Dec-24 €000	Sep-24 €000	Jun-24 €000	Mar-24 €000
EU 1a	Quarter ending on (DD Month YYY)	T	T-1	T-2	T-3	T	T-1	T-2	T-3
EU 1b	Number of data points used in the calculation of average	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
High-quality liquid assets									
1	Total HQLA					534,286	503,270	496,697	525,242
Cash outflows									
2	Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which:	1,596,904	1,566,584	1,539,654	1,506,632	128,551	120,766	113,893	108,138
3	<i>Stable deposits</i>	1,158,319	1,083,710	1,055,447	1,020,315	72,815	54,185	52,772	51,016
4	<i>Less Stable deposits</i>	438,585	422,923	419,304	409,296	55,736	53,953	53,686	52,526
5	Unsecured wholesale funding, of which:	475,372	462,811	466,503	475,091	238,400	239,987	251,394	265,266
6	<i>Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks</i>	3,541	6,181	6,371	6,163	886	1,545	1,593	1,541
7	<i>Non-operational deposits (all counterparties)</i>	471,831	456,630	460,132	468,928	237,514	238,442	249,801	263,725
8	<i>Unsecured debt</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Secured wholesale funding								
10	Additional requirements, of which:	522,533	512,157	43,181	41,679	42,241	48,649	54,718	59,700
11	<i>Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	<i>Outflows related to loss of funding on debt products</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	<i>Credit and liquidity facilities</i>	522,533	512,157	43,181	41,679	42,241	48,649	54,718	59,700
14	Other contractual funding obligations	4,479	4,162	4,162	3,786	4,479	2,425	2,097	1,893
15	Other contingent funding obligations	17,519	15,810	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Total Cash Outflows					413,671	408,096	410,752	418,322
Cash Inflows									
17	Secured lending (eg reverse repos)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Inflows from fully performing exposures	123,523	86,651	65,305	56,062	63,760	54,355	50,204	47,135
19	Other cash inflows	1,045	966	822	675	1,045	966	822	675
20	Total Cash Inflows	124,568	87,617	66,127	56,737	64,805	55,321	51,026	47,810
Total Adjusted Value									
EU-21	Liquidity Buffer					534,286	503,270	496,697	525,242
22	Total net cash outflows					348,865	352,775	359,726	370,512
23	Liquidity Coverage Ratio (%)					156.84%	144.31%	138.11%	141.50%

9.2. Net Stable Funding Ratio

The Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR) measures the amount of stable funding available to a financial institution against the required amount of stable funding. Under CRR, institutions are required to maintain a NSFR of at least 100%. The Bank's NSFR increased during 2024, from a higher increase in 'Available stable funding' (mainly through an increase in the Bank's capital and customer deposits) than the increase in 'Required stable funding' items (mainly the customer loans portfolio) outweighed the increase in, hence leading to an increase of 9.12% in the NSFR. At 140.42%, the NSFR was above the 100% regulatory minimum and in line with the Bank's risk appetite as at 31 December 2024 (31 December 2023: 131.3%). Given that the Bank does not have another 'material currency'⁸, other than the Euro, the Bank reports the NSFR in the reporting currency (Euro).

EU LIQ2: Net Stable Funding Ratio

	Unweighted value by residual maturity				Weighted Value €000
	No Maturity €000	< 6 months €000	6 months to <1 year €000	> 1 year €000	
Available stable funding (ASF) Items					
1 Capital items and instruments	-	-	-	404,527	404,527
2 <i>Own funds</i>	-	-	-	404,527	404,527
3 <i>Other capital instruments</i>		-	-	-	-
4 Retail deposits		2,233,225	277,961	336,024	2,680,461
5 <i>Stable deposits</i>		1,514,140	173,251	282,798	1,885,819
6 <i>Less stable deposits</i>		719,085	104,710	53,226	794,642
7 Wholesale funding		663,148	34,355	157,984	461,838
8 <i>Operational deposits</i>		-	-	-	-
9 <i>Other wholesale funding</i>		663,148	34,355	157,984	461,838
10 Interdependent liabilities		-	-	-	-
11 Other liabilities	-	24,343	2,517	15,816	17,075
12 <i>NSFR derivative liabilities</i>					
13 <i>All other liabilities and capital instruments not included in the above categories</i>		24,343	2,517	15,816	17,075
14 Total Available stable funding (ASF)					3,563,901
Required stable funding (RSF) Items					

⁸ Banks are required to assess the NSFR by material currencies. A currency is considered to be a 'material currency' if the aggregate liabilities denominated in that currency amount to, or exceed, 5% of a bank's total liabilities.

15	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)					23,321
EU	Assets encumbered for a residual maturity of one year or more in a cover pool	-	-	-	-	-
15a						
16	Deposits held at other financial institutions for operational purposes	-	-	-	-	-
17	Performing loans and securities:	74,394	171,664	2,938,994		2,238,371
18	Performing securities financing transactions with financial customers collateralised by Level 1 HQLA subject to 0% haircut	-	-	-	-	-
19	<i>Performing securities financing transactions with financial customer collateralised by other assets and loans and advances to financial institutions</i>	47,109	43,537	132,812		159,291
20	<i>Performing loans to non-financial corporate clients, loans to retail and small business customers, and loans to sovereigns, and PSEs, of which:</i>	23,315	117,316	900,961		830,006
21	<i>With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under the Basel II Standardised Approach for credit risk</i>	514	6,322	30,632		23,329
22	<i>Performing residential mortgages, of which:</i>	3,447	10,811	1,905,221		1,248,812
23	<i>With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under the Basel II Standardised Approach for credit risk</i>	1,563	8,403	1,888,772		1,232,684
24	<i>Other loans and securities that are not in default and do not qualify as HQLA, including exchange-traded equities and trade finance on-balance sheet products</i>	523	0	0		262
25	Interdependent assets	-	-	-	-	-
26	Other assets:	-	95,007	9,108	171,298	216,743
27	<i>Physical traded commodities</i>				-	-
28	Assets posted as initial margin for derivative contracts and contributions to default funds of CCPs				-	-
29	NSFR derivative assets				-	-
30	NSFR derivative liabilities before deduction of variation margin posted				-	-
31	<i>All other assets not included in the above categories</i>	95,007	9,108	171,298		216,743
32	Off-balance sheet items	4,723	153,462	1,025,869		59,502
33	Total Required stable funding (RSF)					2,537,937
34	Net Stable Funding Ratio (%)					140.43%

9.3. Asset Encumbrance

BR 07/2024 on the “*Publication of Annual Report and Audited Financial Statements of Credit Institutions*” and EBA Guidelines on disclosures under Part Eight of the CRR require institutions to disclose information on the level of encumbrance of all on-balance sheet assets and all off-balance sheet items.

An asset is treated as encumbered if it has been pledged or if it is subject to any form of arrangement to secure, collateralise or credit enhance any transaction from which it cannot be freely withdrawn.

The Treasury Unit seeks to obtain funding from the ECB through the relevant monetary policy tools. This facility is dependent on pledging high-quality collateral in the form of bonds to the ECB. For this purpose, the Treasury Unit maintains a stock of eligible collateral that can be used to obtain secured funding. These investments are of sufficient quality to be acceptable as collateral by major institutions.

As at end December 2024, the Bank’s encumbered assets related exclusively to debt securities which are pledged with the ECB for the purpose of existing and potential long-term re-financing operations and cash in favour of the Depositor Compensation Scheme.

Template AE1 discloses the Bank’s encumbered assets and unencumbered assets classified by asset type, while Template AE3 discloses the carrying amount of financial liabilities associated with the encumbered assets. The figures represent the median values of the figures reported to the MFSa in the preceding four quarters⁹. The Bank is not disclosing Template AE2 since it does not encumber any of the collateral received or any of its debt securities issued.

EU AE1 - Encumbered and unencumbered assets

		Carrying amount of encumbered assets €000	Fair value of encumbered assets €000	Carrying amount of unencumbered assets €000	Fair value of unencumbered assets €000
010	Assets of the disclosing institution	179,071		3,658,527	
030	Equity instruments	-	-	62,098	62,098
040	Debt securities	175,979	175,979	235,326	233,587
050	of which: covered bonds	-	-	15,974	15,750
070	of which: issued by general governments	175,979	169,586	124,849	123,952
080	of which: issued by financial corporations	-	-	82,687	82,122
090	of which: issued by non-financial corporations	-	-	27,791	27,514
120	Other assets	3,092		92,525	

EU AE3 - Sources of encumbrance

		Matching liabilities, contingent liabilities or securities lent €000	Assets, collateral received, and own debt securities issued other than covered bonds and securitisations encumbered €000
010	Carrying amount of selected financial liabilities	179,071	179,071

⁹ The figures will not match the figures reported in Note 47.3 appended to the Financial Statements

10. Operational Risk and Resilience

The Group's operational risk management framework and governance are fully integrated into the Group's Operational Resilience Framework which was updated in 2024 to align with the Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA).

The purpose of the Operational Resilience Framework is two-fold. Firstly, to outline a structure for ensuring operational resilience by comprehensively identifying, managing, monitoring, mitigating, and reporting on the Bank's exposure to operational risk particularly through Operational Risk Management, Insurance Arrangements and Reputational Risk Management. Secondly, to address digital operational resilience (as defined under the DORA) through Business Continuity Management, ICT and Cyber Risk Management, ICT Incident Response Management and Third-Party Provider Risk Management.

The Operational Resilience Framework clearly outlines the various roles and responsibilities which aim to ensure sound operational risk governance practices. The Framework is updated and reviewed as necessary to reflect any changes.

As highlighted in Section 3, the Group has a dedicated function – the Operational Resilience Department (ORD) function - which forms part of the Risk Department with a direct reporting line to the Chief Risk Officer. ORD is the owner of the Operational Resilience Framework and is responsible for the overall monitoring, measuring, and reporting on the Bank's exposure to all risks identified within it.

The Group defines Operational risk as “the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, or from external events.” This definition includes legal risk but excludes strategic and reputational risk. Operational risk is inherent in the Bank's products, services and activities.

When internal controls fail, operational risk can adversely affect the Group's reputation, have legal or regulatory implications, and/or lead to financial loss. The Group cannot expect to eliminate all operational risks, but through its control framework and by monitoring and responding to potential risks in a timely manner, it is able to manage operational risks effectively. Controls include appropriate segregation of duties, four-eye principle, ensuring that Staff Members have appropriate expertise and training, regular verification and reconciliation of transactions and accounts and process automation or re-engineering. Furthermore, various follow-ups are carried out on a regular basis on the risks identified in Operational Risk Assessments to ensure that these risks are mitigated and controlled effectively.

The ORD aims to promote a culture of cooperation, communication and continuous improvement where lessons are learnt from operational loss and near miss incidents. The Department is responsible for the framework of real-time reporting of operational loss events and providing immediate response as required, as well as maintaining a database of operational losses and compiling and presenting a monthly 'Operational Risk Dashboard' to the Executive Committee and the Risk Committee.

Operational risk assessments are carried out to facilitate the identification and evaluation of operational risk and to assess deficiencies and risks in the Bank's units' processes and procedures. The objective is to assess inherent operational risks and reduce residual operational risks after the implementation of the suggested mitigating actions and controls. This will in turn decrease the severity and likelihood of operational losses which makes the Group more resilient. These assessments act as an independent means of capturing operational risks not originally identified by the first line of defence. The ORD then requests the respective units to log these operational risks in the Bank's risk register for the required follow-up and mitigation.

The ORD is responsible for developing, implementing and managing the Bank's Business Continuity Framework as a holistic management process. The Business Continuity Plan (BCP) documents of the Group outline a standard procedure that must be followed in the eventuality that a disruption affects operations, such that resumption of services is carried out in an efficient and prioritised manner.

The likelihood and/or impact of particular events and risks may also be mitigated through the Group's comprehensive insurance coverage. The ORD is tasked with the management of the Group's insurance programme covering its insurable risks whilst acting as the point of reference for insurance related matters. Apart from providing a mechanism for effective risk transfer, the purchase of insurance for operational risks acts as an external check (carried out by the broker and insurers) on the quality of operational risk management of the Group, and is a demonstration that the Group has an effective risk

management framework and risk transfer strategy. The ORD assesses the adequacy of the Bank’s insurance cover, facilitates claims handling and policy renewals whilst ensuring cover optimisation.

As part of the ORD, the TPP risk management team oversees risks emanating from arrangements with third parties and maintains ongoing communication with the Business Process Owners, who in turn are responsible for the identification and management of each specific risk within third party arrangements. The Bank has a comprehensive TPP Policy and Procedure, setting up internal governance and risk management controls regarding contractual arrangements entered into between the Bank and Third-Party Providers. The enhancement of the TPP Policy and Procedure is ongoing and will continue ensuring that the Bank remains fully compliant with the current and upcoming legal and regulatory requirements for Digital Operational Resilience, Outsourcing and TPP risk management.

Through a framework developed by ORD, second line of defence monitoring of Reputational Risk is being carried out on a quarterly basis. The framework sets out a risk dashboard, which presents metrics that capture the eight reputational risk dimensions defined by the Reputational Risk Policy. Each risk metric and each risk dimension are analysed against thresholds and then individually weighted depending on their relevance from a reputational risk perspective (taking into account both internal and/or external factors). This quantitative measurement feeds into the allocation of capital for reputational risk management and is aimed at providing insight, promoting effective comparisons and identifying potential issues or gaps that can lead to reputational damage and that require management action.

For the purposes of allocating a capital charge to cover operational risk, the Bank adopts the Basic Indicator Approach (BIA) outlined by Chapter 2 of Title III of the CRR – Own funds Requirements for Operational Risk. The BIA allocates capital to cover operational risk by applying a flat 15% charge on average revenue (the sum of net interest income and net non-interest income) across all business units for the last three financial years.

The Bank will continue applying the BIA until the new Standardised Measurement Approach (SMA) is implemented in January 2025. In addition to this, the Bank also sets aside capital for IT/Cyber risks as part of its Pillar 2 capital allocation (Section 6.3).

EU OR1: Operational risk own funds requirements and risk-weighted exposure amounts

	Relevant Indicator			Own Funds Requirement	Risk exposures amount	
	Year 3	Year 2	Last year			
	€000	€000	€000			
1	Banking activities subject to basic indicator approach (BIA)	72,381	81,636	79,945	11,698	146,227
2	Banking activities subject to standardised (TSA) / alternative standardised (ASA) approaches	-	-	-	-	-
3	<u>Subject to TSA:</u>	-	-	-		
4	<u>Subject to ASA:</u>	-	-	-		
5	Banking activities subject to advanced measurement approaches AMA	-	-	-	-	-

IT and Cyber Risk

The Group continues to capitalise on IT within its activities and strengthen its online presence, whilst cognisant of the evolving cyber threats landscape. The Group maintains a next to zero tolerance to events that could compromise the confidentiality and integrity of data, as well as the availability of its IT systems.

IT and Cyber risk refers to the impact (financial, reputational, technical and regulatory) to the confidentiality and/or integrity and/or availability of Bank information systems (including data managed by these systems) stemming from an attempt, successful or otherwise, by unauthorized individual/stakeholder/systems/entities.

The Technology and Innovation Committee (TAIC) is mandated by the Bank's Board to provide oversight and direction over the Bank's Information, Communications and Technology programmes including innovation initiatives.

In this complex operating environment, the Group continues to embark on an extensive programme to update its information and cyber security operating frameworks, continue implementation of avant-garde information security tools, partnering with industry specialists to be fully equipped to prevent information security and cyber threats and to be agile to respond to security threats with minimal disruption on the Bank's operations. The ORSG Department, as the second line of defence, has partnered with leading local and international institutions to provide specialised information security services including penetration tests, vulnerability assessments, social engineering exercises and custom threat level feeds. The Group also has an IT/cyber insurance policy in place to safeguard its interests.

ORSG includes dedicated functions for Information Security Governance, as well as IT and Cyber Risk oversight based on the principles laid down by the Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA). The Information Security Governance function established an IT Policies Working Group (ITPWG) whose mission is to align the Bank's IT policies with international/industry best practices and the Bank's IT strategy with the Bank's Risk Appetite as set by the Board.

11. Environmental, Social and Governance Risk

The Group recognises that it has a responsibility towards the environment and society beyond legal and regulatory requirements. It is committed to improving performance in these areas as an integral part of its business strategy, with regular review points. The Group is aware of its exposure to the Environmental, Social and Governance risks and is following closely regulatory guidelines, developments and supervisory expectations. As such, measures to mitigate its exposure to these risks form an integral part of the Group's risk management framework. The Group's internal governance arrangements ensure the involvement of the Board and senior management in establishing a risk culture, setting the risk appetite and managing ESG risks, whilst having a clear allocation of responsibilities and reporting lines to ensure the incorporation of ESG risks into the business strategy, business processes and risk management.

The Group publishes a separate report on regulatory Pillar 3 ESG disclosures reporting information on a semi-annual basis. This Report outlines the business strategy, governance and risk management around ESG factors and includes information on climate-material sectors which show how climate risk drivers may impact the balance sheet and how these risks are being mitigated.

12. Reputational Risk

Reputation is considered by the Group to be its most valuable intangible asset and is governed by a suitable Reputational Risk Policy, which is approved by the Board. The Bank has zero appetite to maximising profitability to the detriment of its reputation and standing, within the market and the local community.

Moreover, the Group has a number of systems and techniques in place to mitigate reputational risk; including a Board succession policy, a detailed risk management framework, a business continuity plan, the Code of Conduct, established credit granting criteria, sound investment parameters and comprehensive anti-money laundering procedures. Other actions aimed at strengthening further its governance framework include the introduction and updating of various policies. These include, inter alia, the introduction of the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Policy, and the review of the Customer Acceptance Policy, Conflicts of Interest Policy, Anti-Fraud Policy, Go-to-Market Policy, and Outsourcing & ICT TPP Framework.

The Group has a detailed risk management system, which covers other risks not mentioned above; such as legal, strategic, residual and systemic risks. The Group has established risk management policies governing the management and mitigation of these risks, which policies are approved by the Board of Directors, unless the Board has assigned particular responsibilities to delegated Committees.

13. CRR II References

CRR Article	Title	Reference
Article 435	Risk management objectives and policies	Sections 2, 3 and 4
Article 436	Scope of application	Section 5
Article 437	Own funds	Section 6 and Note 47.5 to the Financial Statements
Article 438	Capital requirements	Section 6 and Note 47.5 to the Financial Statements
Article 439	Exposure to counterparty credit risk	Not applicable
Article 440	Countercyclical capital buffers	Section 6.2
Article 441	Indicators of global systemic importance	Not applicable
Article 442	Credit risk adjustments	Section 7
Article 443	Encumbered and unencumbered assets	Section 9.3
Article 444	Use of the Standardised Approach	Section 7.5
Article 445	Exposure to market risk	Section 8
Article 446	Operational risk management	Section 10

Article	447	Key metrics	Section 4.1
Article	448	Exposure to interest rate risk on positions not included in the trading book	Section 8
Article	449	Exposure to securitisation positions	Not applicable
Article	449a	Environmental, social and governance risks (ESG risks)	ESG Disclosures Report
Article	450	Remuneration policy	Remuneration Report
Article	451	Leverage ratio	Section 6.4
Article	451a	Liquidity requirements	Section 9.1 and 9.2
Article	452	Use of the IRB Approach to credit risk	Not applicable
Article	453	Use of credit risk mitigation techniques	Section 7.5
Article	454	Use of the Advanced Measurement Approaches to operational risk	Not applicable
Article	455	Use of Internal Market Risk Models	Not applicable

14. Non-Applicable Disclosures

Templates	Reason
EU INS1 - Insurance participations	The Bank does not perform insurance activities
EU INS2 - Financial conglomerates information on own funds and capital adequacy ratio	The Bank does not perform insurance activities
EU PV1 - Prudent valuation adjustments (PVA)	Forms part of the financial Statements
EU CC2 - reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements	Reconciliation is part of the financial statements
EU CR2a: Changes in the stock of non-performing loans and advances and related net accumulated recoveries	The Bank's NPL ratio does not exceed the 5% threshold as at end 2024
EU CQ8: Collateral obtained by taking possession and execution processes – vintage breakdown	The Bank's NPL ratio does not exceed the 5% threshold as at end 2024
EU AE2 - Collateral received and own debt securities issued	No collateral was received with respect to Own Debt securities.
EU CR6 – IRB approach – Credit risk exposures by exposure class and PD range	The Bank does not utilise the IRB approach
EU CR6-A – Scope of the use of IRB and SA approaches	The Bank does not utilise the IRB approach
EU CR7 – IRB approach – Effect on the RWEAs of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques	The Bank does not utilise the IRB approach
EU CR7-A – IRB approach – Disclosure of the extent of the use of CRM techniques	The Bank does not utilise the IRB approach
EU CR8 – RWEA flow statements of credit risk exposures under the IRB approach	The Bank does not utilise the IRB approach
Template CR9 –IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (fixed PD scale)	The Bank does not utilise the IRB approach
Template CR9.1 –IRB approach – Back-testing of PD per exposure class (only for PD estimates according to point (f) of Article 180(1) CRR)	The Bank does not utilise the IRB approach
EU CR10 – Specialised lending and equity exposures under the simple risk weighted approach	The Bank does not perform any specialised lending
EU-SEC1 - Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book	The Bank does not perform any securitisation
EU-SEC2 - Securitisation exposures in the trading book	The Bank does not perform any securitisation
EU-SEC3 - Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book and associated regulatory capital requirements - institution acting as originator or as sponsor	The Bank does not perform any securitisation

EU-SEC4 - Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book and associated regulatory capital requirements - institution acting as investor	The Bank does not perform any securitisation
EU-SEC5 - Exposures securitised by the institution - Exposures in default and specific credit risk adjustments	The Bank does not perform any securitisation
EU CCR1 – Analysis of CCR exposure by approach	The Bank is not exposed to Counterparty Credit Risk
EU CCR2 – Transactions subject to own funds requirements for CVA risk	The Bank is not exposed to Counterparty Credit Risk
EU CCR3 – Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory exposure class and risk weights	The Bank is not exposed to Counterparty Credit Risk
EU CCR4 – IRB approach – CCR exposures by exposure class and PD scale	The Bank is not exposed to Counterparty Credit Risk
EU CCR5 – Composition of collateral for CCR exposures	The Bank is not exposed to Counterparty Credit Risk
EU CCR6 – Credit derivatives exposures	The Bank is not exposed to Counterparty Credit Risk
Template EU CCR7 – RWEA flow statements of CCR exposures under the IMM	The Bank is not exposed to Counterparty Credit Risk
EU CCR8 – Exposures to CCPs	The Bank is not exposed to Counterparty Credit Risk
EU MR1 - Market risk under the standardised approach	The Bank does not utilise the IMA Approach
EU MR2-A - Market risk under the internal Model Approach (IMA)	The Bank does not utilise the IMA Approach
EU MR2-B - RWA flow statements of market risk exposures under the IMA	The Bank does not utilise the IMA Approach
EU MR3 - IMA values for trading portfolios	The Bank does not utilise the IMA Approach
EU MR4 - Comparison of VaR estimates with gains/losses	The Bank does not utilise the IMA Approach
EU REM1 - Remuneration awarded for the financial year	Included as part of the remuneration report
EU REM2 - Special payments to staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile (identified staff)	No special payments were made to Staff that may impact the institution risk profile
EU REM3 - Deferred remuneration	Included as part of the remuneration report
Template EU REM4 - Remuneration of 1 million EUR or more per year	No Remuneration Packages exceed 1 million
Template EU REM5 - Information on remuneration of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institutions' risk profile (identified staff)	Included as part of the remuneration report